

## KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

## **Signature Report**

July 30, 2002

## **Motion 11491**

**Proposed No.** 2002-0334.1

**Sponsors** 

Constantine, Pelz, Patterson, Phillips, Sullivan, Gossett, Edmonds, Lambert, Irons, McKenna and Hague

1	A MOTION establishing policy direction for addressing the
2	King County fiscal crisis and requesting the courts,
3	prosecutor, sheriff and all other agencies involved in the
4	criminal justice system within King County to work
5	together to identify efficiencies and possible reductions.
6	
7	
8	WHEREAS, King County has been a home rule county since the county charter
9	was approved by the voters in 1969, and
10	WHEREAS, state law and the county charter establish three branches of county
11	government: the legislative branch, with a thirteen-member elected county council; the
12	executive branch, with an elected county executive; and the judicial branch, with a
13	superior and district court, and with elected superior and district court judges, and
14	WHEREAS, the legislative branch is the policy making body and possesses
15	powers to, among other things, adopt and enact ordinances, levy taxes, appropriate
16	revenue and adopt budgets, and

17	WHEREAS, the executive branch is responsible for, among other things,
18	operating county government, delivering county services and presenting an annual budget
19	to the county council for review and approval, and
20	WHEREAS, the judicial branch is responsible for the administration of civil and
21	criminal justice, and
22	WHEREAS, certain elements of county government are the responsibility of
23	separately elected officials, including the assessor, 51 superior court judges, 26 district
24	court judges, the prosecuting attorney and the sheriff, and
25 <sup>-</sup>	WHEREAS, King County's total 2002 budget of \$2.9 billion is supported by a
26	variety of revenues, and
27	WHEREAS, most of these revenues – \$2.4 billion of the \$2.9 billion total – are
28	expressly restricted by state law to the purposes for which they are collected, and
29	WHEREAS, the remaining revenues of \$500 million, which constitute the
30	county's current expense (CX) fund, are the only funds available to fund many additional
31	county services, and
32	WHEREAS, some of the services funded by the county's CX fund are required by
33	the United States and Washington state constitutions, state law or the county charter,
34	while others are not so required, and
35	WHEREAS, services required by state law consist largely of those services
36	related to the criminal justice system, which is law enforcement, courts, adult and
37	juvenile detention, prosecution and indigent defense, and some services related to public
38	health, and

39	WHEREAS, services required by law also include government functions such as
40	elections, property tax assessment and collection, the county executive and the county
41	council, and
42	WHEREAS, the laws requiring particular services do not usually define the
43	specific level of service that must be provided by the county, and
44	WHEREAS, services that are not required by the county charter or state law are
45	parks and recreation, arts and landmark programs, health and human services and the
46	governmental services that support the operation of the government, such as payroll
47	processing, computer and telephone support and personnel recruitment and selection, and
48	WHEREAS, 68% of the 2002 CX budget is devoted to the criminal justice
49	system, followed by 18% for governmental services, 7% for public health and health and
50	human services, 6% for parks and recreation and 1% for capital projects, and
51	WHEREAS, King County can no longer sustain its CX fund services at existing
52	levels due to a severe and continuing fiscal shortfall, and
53	WHEREAS, the fiscal shortfall has been brought about by several factors,
54	including:
55	A. Annexations and incorporations that have reduced the county's sales tax
56	revenues without substantially reducing its responsibilities;
57	B. Increased demand for services;
58	C. Increased cost of providing those services;
59	D. Initiative-driven limits on taxes; and
60	E. The current economic recession, and

61	WHEREAS, this fiscal crisis has required the county to reduce the CX fund
62	budget by \$41 million in 2002, and will require the county to reduce the CX fund budget
63	by \$50 million 2003, with additional reductions of \$30 million 2004 and another \$30
64	million in 2005, for a total of \$151 million over four years, and
65	WHEREAS, a deficit of this magnitude will require the county to resize CX-
66	funded services and to make difficult choices among all of the services the county
67	provides, and
68	WHEREAS, to comply with state law the council must adopt a balanced budget
69	for 2003 by December 1, 2002, and
70	WHEREAS, parks and recreation, arts and landmark programs and health and
71	human services are not required by law, but contribute significantly to the quality of life
72	in the region, improve the business climate and the county's ability to attract and retain
73	employers and provide family wage jobs, and are highly valued services that many
74	citizens have come to expect the county to provide, and
75	WHEREAS, the balance between services that are legally required and services
76	that are not legally required involves policy decisions for the council to make when it
77	considers the 2003 executive proposed budget and three-year CX fund financial plan, and
78	WHEREAS, if the budgets for the services that are not required by law (parks,
79	health and human services, and arts) were reduced by 70% over the next three years, the
80	county would save \$40 million, and
81	WHEREAS, if other expenditures for governmental functions and public health
82	were reduced by 30% over the next three years, the county CX fund would save \$20
83	million, and

84	WHEREAS, even with this savings of \$60 million, other reductions totaling \$50
85	million will be necessary to make-up the \$110 million shortfall over the next three years
86	and balance the budget, and
87	WHEREAS, to balance the CX budget over the next three years, the county must
88	therefore look for ways to reduce costs in the criminal justice system, and
89	WHEREAS, the council must consider a variety of policy options for
90	improvements and efficiencies in the criminal justice system that will reduce costs to help
91	offset the three-year, \$110 million shortfall, and
92	WHEREAS, over the past several months, the executive, courts, prosecutor,
93	sheriff, and all other agencies involved in the criminal justice system, under the
94	leadership of the council's law, justice and human services committee, have undertaken
95	innovative and thoughtful discussions about how their operations can be changed to
96	achieve cost savings and still preserve public safety, and
97	WHEREAS, this effort will result in legislation establishing county criminal
98	justice policy as identified in the ordinance enacting the Adult Justice Operational Master
99	Plan, and requiring a plan to implement the policy and regular reporting on the progress
100	of developing the plan, and
101	WHEREAS, the county is committed to protecting public safety and ensuring a
102	just, fair, efficient, effective and functioning criminal justice system, and
103	WHEREAS, the council recognizes that improvements and efficiencies in the
104	criminal justice system must be jointly developed by the elected officials responsible for
105	the separate elements of the system, and

127

128

106 WHEREAS, the council is leading by example such that in 2003, it will reduce its 107 own expenditures by approximately \$2.2 million, or about 15%; 108 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County: 109 1. The council will work with the executive to identify additional significant 110 reductions to the budgets relating to governmental functions, including the budgets of the 111 executive's office and the council. 112 2. The council requests the courts, prosecutor, sheriff, and all other agencies 113 involved in the criminal justice system to prepare for the budget process this year and in the next two years by identifying policy and operational changes, developing proposals 114 115 and identifying cost savings that will contribute to a balanced budget, and which will 116 offset the \$110 million budget shortfall by as much as the \$50 million that may be 117 required to balance the budget. 118 3. These proposals should be the result of collaborative discussions among the 119 county executive, the prosecuting attorney, the sheriff, the presiding judge of the superior 120 court and the presiding judge of the district court. 121 4. Proposals for reducing criminal justice system costs should consider the policy 122 framework established in the ordinance enacting the Adult Justice Operational Master 123 Plan, which requires system improvements and efficiencies, a policy for the use of secure 124 detention, and expanding the use of alternative options and sanctions, including treatment 125 services. The proposals should integrate existing treatment services with the criminal 126 justice system to improve public safety.

should reflect a three-year phased implementation beginning in 2003. It is the council's

5. These proposals should be submitted to the council by October 1, 2002, and

intent that these proposals be developed in conjunction with the implementation plan
required by the ordinance enacting the Adult Justice Operational Master Plan.

- 6. The council intends that the county continue to provide those human services that reduce involvement in the criminal justice system or increase the efficiency and effectiveness of criminal justice interventions.
- 7. The council will consider these proposals as it reviews the 2003 executive proposed budget. The prosecuting attorney, the sheriff, the presiding judge of the superior court and the presiding judge of the district court will have ample opportunity to present their proposals, and to advise the council of the impacts their proposals will have on their ability to provide vital services.
- 8. Public hearings on the 2003 proposed budget will be held in multiple locations in the county.
- 9. The council recognizes that reductions deeper than those described above may be necessary in services that are *not* required by law, in order to allow the county to provide adequate levels of services that *are* required by law. However, given the county's fiscal situation, prudent planning requires the council to examine all possible

Attachments

None

cost and service reductions before deciding on the steps necessary to achieve a balanced 145 146 budget. 147 Motion 11491 was introduced on 7/22/2002 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 7/29/2002, by the following vote: Yes: 10 - Ms. Sullivan, Ms. Edmonds, Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Mr. Constantine, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague and Ms. Patterson No: 1 - Mr. Pullen Excused: 2 - Mr. McKenna and Mr. Irons KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ynthia Sullivan, Chair ATTEST: Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council Ron Sims, County Executive